

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

FRANK FAHY,

Plaintiff,

v.

ORPHEOS TARBOX, et al.,

Defendants.

No. C-09-1420 MMC

**ORDER DENYING REQUEST FOR
SANCTIONS**

The Court is in receipt of plaintiff Frank Fahy's letter request, filed March 22, 2011, by which said plaintiff requests the Court "consider *sua sponte* imposition of Rule 11 Sanctions" against counsel for defendant City and County of San Francisco, Orpheos Tarbox, Timothy Buelow, Steven Stocker, and Michael Hennessey, based on said counsel's noticing a discovery-related motion before the undersigned rather than before the magistrate judge to whom discovery matters previously had been referred. According to plaintiff, defendants' counsel did so in order "to bias [the Court] and [its] staff against" plaintiff.

Plaintiff is hereby advised that the Court does not entertain requests for relief made by letter. Rather, such requests must be brought by noticed motion. See Civil L.R. 7-1(a). Further, even if the Court were to consider plaintiff's request, the Court would decline to

1 impose the requested sanctions and, accordingly, for all such reasons, said request is
2 hereby DENIED.

3 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

4
5 Dated: March 24, 2011

6 
7 MAXINE M. CHESNEY
8 United States District Judge
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28